

Serial No. 10/666,399
Filed September 18, 2003
Restriction Requirement dated April 16, 2008
Reply to Restriction Requirement dated June 16, 2008
Docket No. P0298US-7

REMARKS AND ARGUMENT

The Examiner requested election of a species from the following:

Species 1: drawn to a method for coating a plurality of semiconductor devices, claims 13, and 15-19.

Species 2: drawn to a method for coating a plurality of LEDs, claims 33, and 35-37.


Species 3: drawn to a method for coating a plurality of semiconductor chips, claims 38-41.

Although Applicant does not agree with the restriction requirement, Applicant elects species 1 for further prosecution. Applicant has added new claims 42-45 to further define embodiments of the invention. Claims 13, 15-19, and 42-45 read on the elected species. Applicant withdraws claims 33, 35-37, and 38-41 herein but reserves the right to pursue these claims in a divisional or continuation application.

Serial No. 10/666,399
Filed September 18, 2003
Restriction Requirement dated April 16, 2008
Reply to Restriction Requirement dated June 16, 2008
Docket No. P0298US-7

Applicant submits that claims 13, 15-19, and 42-45 are allowable and requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,



Brian J. Philpott
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 58,688

June 16, 2008

KOPPEL, PATRICK HEYBL & DAWSON
555 St. Charles Drive, Suite #107
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360
Tel: (805) 373-0060